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Rezumat
The kind of the strategy chosen by the decision-makers concerning the green investments is an important objective of the most organizations acting for sustainable development. In this context, the paper is presenting a brief background literature as the basis for the clarification of the green investments structure and the conceptualization of the green investments strategy decision-making process. A matrix of the green investments related to the costs and the investments implications on the natural environment ends this paper.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The climate change due mainly to the carbon emissions is the most important challenge that the society is confronting with in the contemporary time. The globalization process is sharing the sustainable knowledge and practices around the word, but little progress have been done to reverse the carbon emissions and the climate change progress.

The sustainable development is any organization’s willing, but besides the natural environment protection and recover the ‘growth requires investment’ (Zenghelis, 2012, p.5). According to the Rio Summit declarations (1992; 2012) and the followed other documents and directives, the global framework of the sustainable development and green investments is extending. Some evidence about the actual results is briefly presented above.

In 2012 the total global investment was 244 $ billion for renewable power and fuels, down with 12% on 2011 record. However there is dauntingly far still to go to reduce the carbon intensity of the generation fleet. But, in 2012, just 6.5% of global electricity was produced using wind, solar, biomass and waste-to-power, geothermal, marine and small hydro technologies up from 5.7% in 2011 (900 megatons of CO2 was not produced) (McCrone et al., 2013).

At the global context, the Middle east and North Africa seam to be the most affected region, because of water scarce which will be elevating in the future years, having global repercussions until 2080 (Maas and Tänzler, 2009, p.4). On the other part of the world, the emerging countries, located in the Far East or South America, the willing for growth has no natural environment reverse protection limits. ‘In the energy sector, public and private entities in the Member States will need to spend around EUR 400bn on distribution networks and smart grids, another EUR 200bn on transmission networks and storage, as well as EUR 500bn to upgrade and build new generation capacity between now and 2020. Last, but not least, it is estimated that between EUR 38-58bn and EUR 181-268bn capital investments are required to achieve the Commission's broadband targets’ (Pelly and Kramer-Eis, 2011, p.7).
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The EU regulations contribute to the decreasing of carbon emissions and to increasing of alternative sources of energy consumption. Evidence underlines the decrease in carbon emissions expressed in 1000 tones of CO2 equivalent, with 8% in 2011 against 2008 and the increase of the energy generated from renewable energy sources consumption out of total national energy consumption from 17 % in 2008 to 23.5% in 2012 (Euro stat, 2013).

Nevertheless, the market is requiring greener products, services and processes. In this respect ‘some preference for green products and processes, expressed in an increased willingness to pay (WTP) for them’ have been studied (Garcia-Gallego and Georgantzis, 2011, p.72). But, many green solutions are financially more expensive than conventional alternatives, especially in terms of early capital costs. And most investments, even those which clearly cut costs in the long run, such as energy efficiency, require some additional up-front investment (Zenghelis, 2012, p.15).

‘The energy sector occupies a central place in the pursuit of a green economy, energy being at once a critical foundation of development and a central part of efforts to address environmental problems such as climate change and air quality’ (Crosby, 2013, p.7).

Nevertheless, generally speaking, the organizations need to clarify their strategies in greening their activities by investments. In this context, a brief green investments literature overview is presented as the basis for the clarification of the green investments structure and the conceptualization of the green investments strategy decision-making process. In addition, based on the documentation and judgment, a structure of the green investments is realized, considering the green investments objects and the type of the capital used in the investments. Finally, a matrix of the green investments strategy is proposed to serve as a tool for the managers’ decision-making.

2. THE GREEN INVESTMENTS STRATEGIES: AN OVERVIEW

The literature offers plenty of works related to the investments’ strategies. The most known and applied strategies are the foreign direct investments, such as Greenfield, mergers and acquisition and joint-ventures.

- Greenfield is the newly designed and constructed facility, seen as ‘a slower way to enter a new country with less risk’ then M&A (Wang, 2009).
- Mergers and acquisition is a mean to integrate economies.
- Joint-venture is the equity partnership when ‘a JV partner can guide firms in developing sales and market penetration’ (Norris, 2011, p. 58).

But, these strategies are not necessarily involved into the environmentally-oriented investments. The ‘environmentally-oriented investments are a source of firm heterogeneity and also impact indirectly on the internationalization of firms by affecting their productivity levels’ .... ‘more the capability of firms to penetrate markets with stricter environmental regulations and standards’ ....’ which captures an investment strategy aimed solely at reducing the environmental impact’. (Antonietti and Marzucchi, 2013, pp. 6, 7, 12).

The investment in going green is a strategy itself. ‘Greening the economy is an important strategy with which to combat climate change and to prevent worst case scenarios. A green transformation has manifold dimensions, the most important of which is to reduce carbon emissions and to secure sustainable energy for all. This includes offering secure universal access to modern energy supplies, doubling the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix, increasing energy efficiency, and phasing out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies’ (UN Report, 2013, pp.44-45).

The environment standardization process is one of the main strategies that an organization might adopt to contribute to the planet greening. But, critics have been brought to the standards implementation. It has been compared two particular instruments, namely uniform versus differentiated standards (Heyes and Dimons, 2011). An other perspective is the supply
chain green management ‘involving various firms, such as suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, and retailers, the cumulative greenhouse gas emissions per unit product increase as materials and products move from one stage to the next. …In short, as products move along a supply chain from supplier to consumer, the revenue of the firm increases but the cumulative emission of greenhouse gases increases as well’ (Sim, S. and Jung, H. (2013) p.455). In the supply chain the strategy’s alternatives for the green investment are as follows (Sim and Jung, 2013, p.455):

- Purchasing eco-friendly raw materials that cost more than convention raw materials but whose use in production results in lower CO emissions;
- Replacing current facilities with new eco-friendly facilities that have the capability to reduce CO emissions; and
- Changing modes of transport from less eco-friendly to more eco-friendly modes’

The investments in greening the environment have at least two benefits for the organization: the obtaining of the competitive advantage and the optimization of the expected return on investments (Orsato, 2006). The author is discussing four ways of investments for the competitive advantage gain, i.e.:

- Strategy 1: eco-efficiency;
- Strategy 2: beyond compliance leadership;
- Strategy 3: eco-branding and
- Strategy 4: environmental cost leadership.

While the strategies 2 and 3 have the basis in differentiation, the strategies 1 and 4 are based on the cost lowering.

The change strategy in going green is another approach of the green investments. ‘A green transformation is a societal challenge. To make the use of public leveraging instruments more effective, investors – and also their clients – have to be educated and informed’ (Lindenberg, 2014, p.39). In the change strategy, the forces that are pushing towards investments could be considered to be:

- Green consumers forces which prefer to pay more for ecological products;
- Pressure groups that comprise the NGOs fighting for greening the society;
- The national and international organizations that are recommending the use of environment standards;
- The organization’s management that is willing to implement environment protection technologies;
- The community that is expecting a safe and healthy environment from the business.

In forestry domain the strategic options could be: the wood waste utilization, the wood reuse, the plantation establishment, the enrichment planting, the agro forestry practices, the solar energy tapping and utilization and the maintenance of the forests (Ogunwusi, 2013).

Aras and Crowther (2009, p.254) have proposed different other three strategies that could be applied to any activity, i.e.:

- The identification of the true scarce resources and develop techniques to use then efficiently;
- The measure and record all effects of organizational activity and ensure an equitable distribution f these effects;
- The development requires the continuing balancing of all relevant factors and the privileging of none.

The standards and regulations are contributing to the greening, but the ‘investment in environmental practices may be the result of a large set of factors and motivations, not only regulation (Ghisetti and Quatraro, 2013). These further motivations may be related to costs reduction or revenues increase (Ambec and Lanoie, 2008) and eventually lead to increasing
business performances. Developing from these points, scholars have empirically investigated whether the green investment strategies (i.e. investments in machinery and equipment aimed at reducing the environmental impact of production) influence firms’ productivity and international competitiveness’ (Antonietti and Marzuoli, 2013, p.21).

3. THE STRUCTURE OF GREEN INVESTMENTS

Considering the structure of the green investments, Eyraud (2011, p.7) have considered three groups of factors, supply factors, demand factors and mixed factors, which are influencing the green investments:
- **Supply factors**: Low-emission electricity supply (nuclear and renewable sources of electricity); Energy efficiency in energy-consuming sectors (hydropower; wind; solar; biomass); other low-emission/renewable energy supply (bio-fuels; biomass; solar and geothermal for heating); R&D in clean energy and Carbon sequestration (agriculture; deforestation; Carbon capture and storage technologies.
- **Demand factors**: Energy efficiency in energy-consuming sectors (household, industry, agriculture, transport, services)
- **Mixed factors**: Energy efficiency in the electricity sector: generation, transmission and distribution.

Having in view the object of the green investments and any expenses done with the scope of greening the activities, we might structure these investments into eight groups, as the followings: constructions, machinery and equipment, materials, shares, knowledge, green branding, social activities and funds. These groups also might be regrouped into four clusters according to the type of the capital involved: fixed investments capital, financial capital, human capital and intellectual capital (Table 1).

- **Constructions**

This category includes new green office buildings, plant building, residential buildings, retail centres or ‘retrofitted existing buildings to the green standards’ (Durmus-Pedini and Ashuri, 2010) and/or infrastructure.

A green building is constructed by using low materials consumption and green sources for electricity and fuel. The ‘green infrastructure and other green building practices are increasingly becoming a quality benchmark for the private sector, because they illustrate a developer’s commitment to healthier, sustainable communities and place-making, while creating measurable value added for property owners and tenants alike’ (Clements et al., 2013, p.4).

Public infrastructure comprises roads, water systems, public transit systems, electrical grids, with the positive benefit of jobs creation, defined as ‘the job that is created without displacing any other economic activity’ (Cray et al, 2011, p.5-7).

The investments in infrastructure ‘includes renewable energy generation and distribution, energy efficient buildings and city planning, water supply and removal, and waste management’ (Lindenberg, 2014, p. 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Green investments capital type</th>
<th>Green investments objects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed investments capital</td>
<td>constructions, machinery, equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial capital</td>
<td>materials, shares, funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual capital</td>
<td>knowledge, green branding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources capital</td>
<td>social activities (with volunteers)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The structure of the green investments

- **Machinery and equipment**
This group includes the machinery, equipment and devices that are protecting the environment or low carbon technology equipment with incorporated devices for environment protection and/or natural resources low consumption (including the reuse of resources).
- **Materials and products purchased**
  Even from the accounting point of view this group is not included into fixed assets we consider that they may contribute to the environment protection, the nature’s conservation or the low consumption of the material resources.
- **Green companies’ shares**
  The investments in shares with the green companies are also considered a specific category.
- **Green knowledge**
  In this group we consider the expenses for the training programs, coaching and information data base construction and knowledge share to the employees and other stakeholders regarding the environment protection.
- **Green branding**
  This category comprises the expenses for the brand building and sustaining with the green message addressed to the consumers for the products and services.
- **Eco-social activity**
  This group includes the activities carried out by employees working as volunteers for the community and financed by the company (trees plantation, garbage collecting and others).
- **Green funds**
  The funds that are financing the green investments enter into this category. The instruments used for the investments financing are: the equity, loans, grants, structural funds, credit lines, green bonds, guarantees, technical assistance (Lindenberg, 2014, p.32). Another opinion is that the financing sources for the investments are the ‘self-financing, the use of bank credit (both in the short and in the medium-long run), the use of public subsidies or tax reliefs, and the use of venture capital’ (Antonietti and Marzucchi, 2013, p.12).

4. THE GREEN INVESTMENTS STRATEGIES MATRIX

The general scope of the investment is the highest expected benefit or yield to be obtained by using the object of investment (the return on investment). In the case of the green investments, the decision maker has to harmonize three issues, i.e. the investment’s object, the financing instrument and the expected return in order to apply the best fitted strategy. It also has to be forecasted the implications of the strategy chosen on the environment (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. The conceptualization of the green investments decision-making process](image-url)
The decision-makers have to choose among the strategies that are the best fitting the organization’s objectives. The most applied strategies in the green investment process are the followings:

- Green direct investment: fixed capital investments or financial capital investments;
- Green joint-venture: financial capital investments;
- Green mergers & acquisition: financial capital investments and/or intellectual capital investments;
- Alliances and partnership: financial capital investments;
- Green products diversification or differentiation: financial capital investments;
- Standards & regulations implementation (for example, ISO 14000: 2004-2012): intellectual capital investments;
- Environment risks management: intellectual capital investments;
- Change into green strategy-adaptation: intellectual capital investments and/or fixed capital investments and/or financial capital investments;
- Green products or services diversification and differentiation: intellectual capital investments and financial capital investments and/or fixed capital investments;
- Green products & technologies innovation: intellectual capital investments;
- Green knowledge sharing: intellectual capital investments;
- Social green responsibility: human resources capital investments, financial capital investments.

Selecting the strategy for the green investments, the organization has to analyze at least the correlation between the cost of the investments and the implications on the natural environment. In this respect, the decision-maker may use the matrix proposed in the Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Cost and environment related green investments strategies matrix](image)

The matrix emphasis four extreme situations:
- Left-up corner: low costs - low environment effects (joint venture, green knowledge sharing, alliances and partnership);
- Left-down corner: low costs - high environment effects (mergers and acquisition, social green responsibility, green products diversification or differentiation);
- Right-up corner: high costs - low environment effects (standards and regulations implementation, environment risks management);
• Right-down corner: high costs - high environment effects (green direct investments, change into green strategy - adaptation and green products and technologies innovation).

Nevertheless, the practice emphasizes that the process of the green investments might combine strategies and the costs and the implications on the environment may differ. According to the practice of the organizations that are making green investments the above presented matrix may be improved.

5. CONCLUSIONS
To do green investments the decision-makers have to choose the right strategy that is the best fitting the organizations vision and strategic objectives.

The strategies to invest green imply fixed, financial, intellectual and human resource capital, depending on the investments’ objects. The strategy conceptualization of the green investments strategy process comprises four issues: the investments objects, financing, return on investment and the implication on the natural environment.

In choosing the strategy or the combination of strategies the decision-maker needs to take into account the costs of the investments and the implications of the investments on the natural environment and the matrix proposed might be a useful tool.

The matrix has a limitation, coming from lack of considering the combination of the strategies and the consequences of these combinations. This limitation may be used to the matrix improvement.
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